



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

09/894,476

06/28/2001

Andrew Comas

72167.000564

5938

21967 7590 04/10/2007

HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
1900 K STREET, N.W.
SUITE 1200
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109

EXAMINER

GRAHAM, CLEMENT B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3692

| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|--|-----------|---------------|
|--|-----------|---------------|

3 MONTHS

04/10/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/894,476

Applicant(s)

COMAS ET AL.

Examiner

Clement B. Graham

Art Unit

3692

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 October 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 3692

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-12 remained pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-9, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts. In the present case, claims 1-9, do not recite any structure or functionality to suggest that a computer performs the recited claims. Thus, claims 1-9, are rejected as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Applicant's is advised to imbed a computer in the body of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3692

4. Claims 1-12, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sandretto U.S Patent 5, 812, 988).

As per claim 1, Sandretto discloses a method for structured development of migration options in a legacy transactional enterprise, the method comprising: identifying components of the legacy enterprise; developing risk factors for the components of the legacy enterprise; identifying unmet opportunities; developing risk factors for the unmet opportunities; identifying potential components for the legacy enterprise (see column 8 lines 52-67 and column 9-11 lines 1-67 and column 12 lines 1-55) developing risk factors for the potential components of the legacy enterprise; and developing the migration options with associated risks using at least the risk factors for the components, the risk factors for the unmet opportunities and the risk factors for the potential components. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67)

As per claim 2, Sandretto discloses wherein the components of the legacy enterprise are selected from the group consisting of hardware or software. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 3, Sandretto discloses wherein the unmet opportunities are selected from the group consisting of new hardware, new software or new business methods. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 4, Sandretto discloses wherein the potential components for the legacy enterprise are selected from the group consisting of hardware or software. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 5, Sandretto discloses wherein the potential components for the legacy enterprise include conceptual models of undeveloped capabilities. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

Art Unit: 3692

As per claim 6, Sandretto discloses wherein the risk factors include multiple variables. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 7, Sandretto discloses wherein the risk factors are selected from the group consisting of cost or schedule. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 8, Sandretto discloses wherein the migration options with associated risk factors are selected from the group consisting of existing components or conceptual models of undeveloped capabilities. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 9, Sandretto discloses wherein the associated risks of the migration options are derived from the risk factors for the components of the legacy enterprise, the risk factors for the unmet opportunities, and the risk factors for the potential components of the legacy enterprise. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 10, Sandretto discloses computer executable software code transmitted as an information signal, the code for structured development of migration options in a legacy transactional enterprise, the code comprising:
code to capture identity of components of the legacy enterprise;
code to capture risk factors for the components of the legacy enterprise; code to capture identity of unmet opportunities(see column 8 lines 52-67 and column 9-11 lines 1-67 and column 12 lines 1-55) code to capture risk factors for the unmet opportunities;
code to capture identity of potential components for the legacy enterprise(see column 8 lines 52-67 and column 9-11 lines 1-67 and column 12 lines 1-55)
code to capture risk factors for the potential components of the legacy enterprise;
and code to develop the migration options with associated risks using at least the risk factors for the components, the risk factors for the unmet opportunities and the risk factors for the potential components. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

Art Unit: 3692

As per claim 11, Sandretto discloses a computer-readable medium having computer executable software code stored thereon, the code for structured development of migration options in a legacy transactional enterprise, the code comprising:

code to capture identity of components of the legacy enterprise;

code to capture risk factors for the components of the legacy enterprise; code to capture identity of unmet opportunities(see column 8 lines 52-67 and column 9-11 lines 1-67 and column 12 lines 1-55) code to capture risk factors for the unmet opportunities;

code to capture identity of potential components for the legacy enterprise(see column 8 lines 52-67 and column 9-11 lines 1-67 and column 12 lines 1-55)

code to capture risk factors for the potential components of the legacy enterprise; and code to develop the migration options with associated risks using at least the risk factors for the components, the risk factors for the unmet opportunities and the risk factors for the potential components. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

As per claim 12, Sandretto discloses a programmed computer for structured development of migration options in a legacy transactional enterprise, comprising:

a memory having at least one region for storing computer executable program code; and a processor for executing the program code stored in the memory; wherein the program code comprises:

code to capture identity of components of the legacy enterprise(see column 8 lines 52-67 and column 9-11 lines 1-67 and column 12 lines 1-55) code to capture risk factors for the components of the legacy enterprise; code to capture identity of unmet opportunities;

code to capture risk factors for the unmet opportunities(see column 8 lines 52-67 and column 9-11 lines 1-67 and column 12 lines 1-55) code to capture identity of potential components for the legacy enterprise;

code to capture risk factors for the potential components of the legacy enterprise;

Art Unit: 3692

and code to develop the migration options with associated risks using at least the risk

factors for the components, the risk factors for the unmet opportunities and the risk

factors for the potential components. (Note abstract and see column 14 lines 31-67 and column 15-28 lines 1-67).

Conclusion

RESPONSE TO RGUMENTS

5. In response to Applicant's arguments "as it pertains to the 101 rejection"

However claims 1-9, identifying, developing, are mere abstract idea because it does not produce a tangible result.

**Claims 1-9, represents a process and does not have a clear "end" or output hence ---
--- these claims does not produce a tangible result.**

Deficiencies under the "useful invention" requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101 will arise in one of two forms. The first is where it is not apparent why the invention is "useful." This can occur when an applicant fails to identify any specific and substantial utility for the invention or fails to disclose enough information about the invention to make its usefulness immediately apparent to those familiar with the technological field of the invention. (MPEP 2107.01).

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clement B Graham whose telephone

Art Unit: 3692


number is 571-272-6795. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-0040 for regular communications and 703-305-0040 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

CG

March 26, 2007


FRANTZY POINVIL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 3692